

# How to cite this document

Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

# How to cite individual roles

#### Domain I – Professionalism

McLoughlin J, Davies J, Field J. Domain I – Professionalism. In: Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

# Domain 2 – Safe and Effective Clinical Practice

Field J, DeLap E, Manzanares Cespedes C. Domain I – Professionalism. In: Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

# Domain 3 – Patient-centred Care

Field J, Kavadella A, Szep S, Davies J, DeLap E, Manzanares Cespedes C.

Domain I – Professionalism. In: Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

# Domain 4 – Dentistry in Society

Gallagher J, Field J. Domain I – Professionalism. In: Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

#### Methods of Teaching, Learning & Assessment

Field J, Walmsley D, Paganelli C, McLoughlin J, Szep S, Kavadella A, Manzanares Cespedes C, Davies J, DeLap E, Levy G, Gallagher J, Roger V, Cowpe, J. Contemporaneous methods of Teaching, Learning and Assessment in Dental Undergraduate Education. In: Field J, Cowpe J, Walmsley D, editors. The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe. Dublin: Association for Dental Education in Europe, 2017

# ADEE 2017: The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe

International Office Dublin Dental School and Hospital Trinity College, Lincoln Place Dublin 2, Ireland

T: +353 | 6|2 7287 / 7235 F: +353 | 6|2 7294

© 2017 by the Association for Dental Education in Europe

All rights reserved. This material may be reproduced in full for educational, personal, non-commercial purposes only, with attribution to the source as noted in the next column. Written permission is required for all other uses, including commercial use of the framework.

# The Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe

#### **Introduction**

The Association for Dental Education in Europe (ADEE) has represented dental schools throughout Europe since 1975 and has become an international voice of dental education. In 1998, a successful European Union-funded Thematic Network Project (DentEd) commenced, which initially aimed to facilitate convergence of Dental Education in Europe. The initiative eventually ran over a 9-year period as 3 interlinked projects. A network of dental educators was established, which closely aligned to the mission of ADEE (Harzer 2017, Reynolds 2008).

#### Project development

The DentEd project was timely, as the Bologna declaration set out the steps needed to harmonise higher educational systems in Europe only one year later, in 1999. The strategic importance of the project was therefore aligned with European legislation and, as a result, ADEE commissioned the following Taskforces:

- Profile and Competences for the Graduating European Dentist Taskforce (TFI)
- Curriculum Structure, Content, Learning & Assessment in European Undergraduate Dental Education Taskforce (TF2)
- Quality Assurance & Benchmarking. An Approach for European Dental Schools Taskforce (TF3)

The value of core competences in ensuring patient care was already well-documented (McPherson et al. 2001) and the aim of TFI was to provide a profile of the competences that a newly graduated dentist should be able to demonstrate. It was expected that this competence 'profile' would serve as a useful benchmark for both student education and safe and effective patient care. The objectivity that this provided has since been shown to be incredibly useful when claryfying performance standards and ensuring quality (Verma et al. 2006). Alongside the modular curriculum described by TF2, TF3 provided a quality assurance framework that was designed to deliver high standards in dental education, resulting in safe and effective patient care.

All three Taskforces engaged widely through pan-European consultation, inviting specialist groups and societies to shape the final documents. Upon publication, stakeholders were encouraged to use the documents as a basis from which to produce their own frameworks, curricula or guidelines. This pan-European taskforce approach is supported by the recognition that similar social partnerships (involving a wide range of stakeholders) have been shown to increase the validity of curricula, facilitate the transition of students to a vocational work environment, and to help students develop into proficient and effective practitioners (Billett et al. 2007, Holt et al. 2010).

# Project impact

Upon completion, the original Taskforce outputs were individually published and some of these have since been updated (Cowpe et al 2010, Manogue et al 2011, Jones et al 2007); all three are accessible on the ADEE website (ADEE 2017). Their popularity and influence is demonstrated by the number of downloads and citations that have taken place and they have been used by many schools, educational establishments and professional organisations globally (Tables I and II). Whilst the 'Profiles and Competences' document was the most popular in isolation, the three documents were designed to complement one another in practice.

#### Updating the Taskforce documentation

Since the time of publication, several organisations, institutions and societies are now showing a preference for a 'Learning Outcomes' approach to undergraduate dental education. This is particularly evident within the UK General Dental Council's document 'Standards for Education' (GDC 2016). It is now relatively commonplace to use 'outcome measures' as an accreditation tool, and the most widespread medical example of this at present is the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education in the United States. The approach is supported by several principles, as described by Leach (2001) and Harden & Laidlaw (2012):

- I. Programmes need to be responsive to the needs and expectations of their graduates
- 2. Patient safety and accountability
- 3. Ensures assessments are valid
- 4. Provides continuity across the continuum of medical education
- 5. Helps to identify problems within the curriculum
- 6. Enables curricula worldwide to be compared

Further, the importance of the 'dental team' and a 'team approach' to the delivery of patientcentred oral healthcare is being increasingly emphasised, and it could be argued that this is also best managed through an outcome-based approach (Harden & Laidlaw 2012). Teaching excellence is increasingly in the spotlight, with various metrics being proposed at institution, discipline and school level. Whilst many of the ways in which excellence is measured will depend upon graduate performance and success, there is also a growing interest in measuring levels of student satisfaction and support. As such, curricula need to be communicated clearly and effectively to both staff and students, and schools must be capable of implementing measures to ensure teaching quality. There is a growing body of research surrounding the self-perceived 'preparedness' of graduates, and educators should be mindful of how their curricula shape the 'safe-beginner'. A recent questionnaire-based study of dental graduates in the United Kingdom showed that dentists felt adequately prepared to carry out simple clinical procedures, and communicate effectively - however graduates reported feeling relatively illprepared to use an evidence-informed approach, to take responsibility for their own continued professional development, and in raising concerns about the behaviour of their colleagues (Ali et al 2017).

With these developments in mind, the time is right to not only update the current suite of publications, but also to inject a fresh new approach that reflects best academic practice for European dental education. ADEE and its membership continue to strive to refine and harmonise the delivery, structure and quality of dental undergraduate educational programmes. In so doing, the objective is also to promote mobility on a European level. ADEE is recognised in Dentistry as representing the views of European Dental education and the organisation is in a strategic position to oversee the process.

The aim of this document is to outline the updating process that has taken place regarding the original ADEE Taskforce publications, and in so doing, establish a useful framework that supports dental education and training across Europe. The objectives are to:

- link 'Learning Outcomes' more explicitly with the defined curriculum
- include methods of learning and methods of assessment

• update the methods of quality assurance for the delivery of undergraduate dental education and training

#### Document structure

The original 'Profiles and Competence' document outlined seven different Domains (Cowpe et al 2010). These comprised:

- i. Professionalism
- ii. Interpersonal, Communication and Social Skills
- iii. Knowledge Base, Information and Information literacy
- iv. Clinical Information Gathering
- v. Diagnosis and Treatment Planning
- vi. Therapy: Establishing and Maintaining Oral Health
- vii. Prevention and Health Promotion

Whilst attempting to allocate the existing 'Competences' and 'Learning Outcomes' to the original seven domains, it became clear that there was considerable overlap of their remit, and significant regional variation surrounding the expectations of a modern European Dental graduate. After reclassifying and clarifying all 'Learning Outcomes', the original Domains have been simplified into four, reflecting modern dental educational practice, and introducing more clarity and utility for educators:

- i. Professionalism
- ii. Safe and Effective Clinical Practice
- iii. Patient-Centred Care
- iv. Dentistry in Society

It is worth noting that in many cases, a graduating dentist is classified as a 'safe beginner'. As such, there is a need for sustained supervised practice during a period of vocational (or foundation) training (Allen 1993). The Domains and their defined 'Major Competences' provide a basis from which graduates can build confidence and competence towards becoming an independent practitioner, who accepts the importance of continuing professional development throughout their career. The framework has been updated primarily, but not exclusively, for Dentists; as such, we would encourage utility across allied professions and across geographical boundaries.

This new Profile of Undergraduate Dental Education in Europe describes a Framework for use by dental educators and other stakeholders. The Framework, displayed in Figure I and Table 3, comprises four Domains covering topics referred to as 'Major Competences'. These are accompanied by a series of associated 'Learning Outcomes'. Subsequent guidance is provided relating to 'Methods of Teaching and Learning' and 'Methods of Assessment', reflecting the necessarily diverse and broad range of educational methods that might be successfully employed.

For the purposes of consistency and clarity, the terms 'Competences' and 'Learning Outcomes' are described below:

#### **Competences**

'Professional behaviours and skills required by a graduating dentist in order to respond to the full range of circumstances encountered in general professional practice (Cowpe et al 2010)).'

#### Learning Outcomes

'A series of individual and objective outcomes, with shared ownership between students and staff, designed to facilitate the learning and assessment process'.



# Figure I – A Framework for Dental Undergraduate Education & Training

#### Quality assuring the delivery of dental education

Education and training must not take place in environments where fundamental standards of quality and safety are not adhered to (Francis 2013). Quality assurance processes are therefore fundamentally important to the delivery of effective education and patient-centred care. As such, we have chosen to summarise the following important considerations within this introductory document:

- Staff appraisal, training and development
- Policies and procedures
- Disciplinary processes including fitness to practice and fitness to study
- Examiner feedback, calibration and training
- Feedback (from staff, students and patients)
- A supportive infrastructure

Staff appraisal, Training and Development: The example demonstrated by staff is hugely influential in forming students' attitudes to professionalism (Cruess et al 2008 and Passi et al 2010). Teaching staff may be unaware of their potential impact in this respect. Structured and regular staff training provides a useful method to ensure all staff are providing the same level of teaching, and are familiar with the curriculum. It is expected that a dental school will ensure that all policies, procedures and protocols are updated and aligned to a contemporaneous evidence base – and that teaching staff adopt a professional attitude in adhering to these requirements.

Policies, Procedures and Disciplinary processes: It is the role of dental educators to produce clinicians who are patient-centred, who will act as advocates for high-quality patient care, and

who will be resilient enough to maintain professional standards in challenging circumstances (Bissell & Felix 2015). The challenge is to identify students who (despite passing written, practical and clinical assessments) fail to engage in professional behaviour over a longitudinal period. A fair and robust process, with a range of possible interventions and sanctions, is required in order to deal with these students.

Feedback: The validation of school educational processes is very important, particularly those surrounding assessment. As well as considering the opinions and experiences of staff and students internally, external review is valuable to an institution because it allows programmes and student cohorts to be compared with the wider educational community. External review can also provide reassurance for students about the fairness of assessment processes. Involving students in the quality assurance of assessment is becoming increasingly common. At the same time, staff involved in examining need to be trained and calibrated appropriately - this also extends to staff who are assessing formatively as part of a more longitudinal process, such as the recording of portfolio grades (Driessen et al 2007). Patient feedback is a potentially valuable source of information for individual students, although as yet there is limited data showing any correlation with the development of professional attitudes and behaviours (Ferguson et al 2014). Nonetheless it is important that strategies are in place to record comments, and inform students of positive and negative feedback. This data can be held by the student and used as part of the reflective process, and held by the institution and used as to facilitate progression, or fitness to practice inquiries. Equally as important is the need for all stakeholders to be able to listen effectively to feedback, and formulate appropriate responses (Field 2015). The dialogue should be transparent, and inviting, between staff, student and patients alike. Demonstrating a willingness to take views on board, and showing a desire to act on those views where necessary, is paramount.

Of course, many of the quality assurance measures mentioned above are not possible without a safe and effective infrastructure, both physically and financially. It is critical that teaching institutions develop a rolling programme of improvements, not least in order to ensure continued and safe patient-centred care. Equally as critical, is an accessible and appropriate patient base, which gives students the opportunity to:

- provide holistic care
- demonstrate an adequate portfolio of longitudinal activity
- demonstrate a suitable range of clinical competence

# Intended impact

Given the success of the original 'Profiles and Competences' and its associated publications, ADEE strongly asserts that these updated documents will provide clear guidance and support for dental education and training on a European scale. The 'Major Competences' and associated 'Learning Outcomes' are by no means exhaustive and this Framework may be instrumental in delivering dental education in a variety of environments and to a range of other dental care professionals. Further, it is anticipated that the new documents are shared with all stakeholders of dental education, including the students themselves. Only then, will students be able to take true ownership of their learning and contribute to meaningful and necessary curriculum development. It is expected that this new Framework will:

- Further refine and harmonise dental undergraduate curricula across Europe, whilst respecting regional, socio-economic and cultural variation.
- Reinforce the importance of an outcome-based curriculum, which is informed by a robust and effective system of student and staff feedback.
- Facilitate the transition from a student to a practitioner who is considered 'a safe beginner', and able to build confidence and further competence as part of a workplace-based training programme.
- Provide a clear Framework that is accessible to all stakeholders of dental undergraduate education, including students themselves.
- Enhance patient safety through a high standard of clinical and professional care on a European and global level.
- Provide guidance and support for educators who are developing curricula for undergraduate dental education and training.
- Promote peer support and parity across educational institutions.
- Support the mobility of staff and students across institutions.
- Reinforce the DentCPD initiative (Cowpe 2013), by promoting reflection, life-long learning and further academic/clinical training.

# <u>Summary</u>

This document provides a new approach that reflects best academic practice for European dental education. ADEE is in a strategic position to oversee this process, ensuring that European graduates are prepared to provide oral healthcare for patients aspiring to high standards of professional and clinical care. The importance of the dental team approach to patient care is paramount and the need to engender the importance of life-long learning through continuing professional development (CPD) throughout the training programme should be encouraged.

The updated Framework will see 'Learning Outcomes' linked more explicitly within the defined curriculum and accompanying guidance specific to each Domain, relating to 'Methods of Teaching and Learning' and 'Methods of Assessment'. It is anticipated that this new format will further increase the accessibility and utility of this Framework.

Finally, whilst this document has been written for the European Dentist, the contents are applicable to the international arena. ADEE is happy to see this document disseminated widely and the outcome will be future derivations which take account of local cultural and patient needs in different areas of the world.

#### References

ADEE 2017: Online resource: http://www.adee.org/taskforces/index.html accessed 01/03/17

Ali K, Slade A, Kay E, Zahra D, Tredwin C. Preparedness of undergraduate dental students in the United Kingdom: a national study. 2017. British Dental Journal. 222(6) 472-477

Allen WR. Mandatory vocational training for the general dental services. British Dental Journal 1993; 175: 188

Anderson P. Beeley J. Monteiro P. Amaechi B. Huysmans M. A European Core Curriculum in Cariology: The knowledge base. European Journal of Dental Education:2011 15(supp 1) 18-22

Billett S, Ovens C, Clemans A, Seddon T. Collaborative working and contested practices: Forming, developing and sustaining social partnerships in education. Journal of Education Policy 2007: 22(6), 637-656.

Bissell V, Felix D. The Francis Report – Implications for the Education and Training of Dental Professionals. Dental Update 2015; 42: 215–218

Bridges S, Yiu C, Botelho M. Design Considerations for an Integrated, Problem-Based Curriculum, Medical Science Educator, 2016, 26(3) 365

Carbone M, Manno E. La sedazione cosciente inalatoria con protossido d'azoto e ossigeno in odontoiatria, Italian Oral Surgery, 2012, 11(5) 4

Christensen J, Matzen L, Wenzel A. Should removal of lower third molars be included in the pre-graduate curriculum for dental students? An evaluation of post-operative complications after student operations, Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 2012, 70(1) 42

Cowpe JG. DentCPD, Dental Continuing Professional (CPD) Reference Manual. European Journal of Dental Education. 2013; 17 Suppl i-iv, 1-84 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eje.2013.17.issue-s1/issuetoc

Cowpe J, Plasschaert A, Harzer W, Vinkka-Puhakka H, Walmsley AD Profile and competences for the graduating European dentist – update 2009 European Journal of Dental Education 2010: 14, 193–202

Cruess S, Cruess RL, Steinert Y. Role modeling: making the most of a powerful teaching strategy. BMJ. 2008;336:718–721.

De Bruyn H, Koole S, Mattheos N, Lang N. A survey on undergraduate implant dentistry education in Europe, European Journal of Dental Education, 2009 13, 3

Dougall A. Thompson S. Faulks D. Ting G. Nunn J. Guidance for the core content of a Curriculum in Special Care Dentistry at the undergraduate level 2014: European Journal of Dental Education 18 (1) 39-43

Driessen EW, Van Tartwijk J, Van der Vleuten CPM, & Wass V. Portfolios in medical education: Why do they meet with mixed success? A systematic review. 2007. Medical Education, 41: 1224-1233.

Evans J. Henderson A. Johnson N. Traditional and interprofessional curricula for dental technology: Perceptions of students in two programs in Australia 2013: Journal of Dental Education 77(9) 1225-1236

Ferguson, J. Wakeling, J. Bowie, P. Factors influencing the effectiveness of multisource feedback in improving the professional practice of medical doctors: a systematic review. BMC Med Educ. 2014. 14:76.

Field J. Pre-Clinical Dental Skills: At a Glance. Wiley-Blackwell; 2015. P48-49

Field J, Stone S, Orsini C, Hussain A, Vital S, Crothers A, Walmsley D. Curriculum content and assessment of pre-clinical dental skills: A survey of undergraduate dental education in Europe. European Journal of Dental Education 2017.

Fontana M, Guzmán-Armstrong S, Schenkel AB, Allen KL, Featherstone J, Goolsby S, Kanjirath P, Kolker J, Martignon S, Pitts N, Schulte A, Slayton RL, Young D, Wolff M. Development of a Core Curriculum Framework in Cariology for U.S. Dental Schools. Journal of Dental Education. 2016: 80, 705-20.

Francis R. Report of the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Enquiry. Executive Summary. London: The Stationery Office, 2013. http:// www.midstaffspublicinquiry.com/ report

GDC 2016: Online resource:

www.gdc-uk.org/Aboutus/education/Documents/Standards%20for%20Education.pdf Accessed 27/10/16

Harden, R. Laidlaw J. Essential skills for a medical teacher: an introduction to teaching and learning in medicine. Chapter 6: the need for an outcomes-based approach. Elsevier Health Sciences. 2012.

Harzer W, Tausche E, Gedrange T. Harmonisation of Dental Education in Europe - a survey about 15 years after visitation of dental schools participating in the DentEd project, European Journal of Dental Education, 2017, 21(1) 22

Hey J, Stimmelmayr M, Hirsch C, Beuer F. Content and Goals of Preclinical Prosthodontic Programs at German-Language Dental Schools, Journal of Prosthodontics, 2014, 23(3) 246

Heiderman D, Harzer W. German dental and postgraduate education in the European context Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung – Gesundheitsschutz 2011: 54 (9) 1052-1060

Holmes R, Waterhouse P, Maguire A, Hind V, Lloyd J, Tabari D, Lowry R. Developing an assessment in dental public health for clinical undergraduates attending a primary dental care outreach programme, European Journal of Dental Education, 2011, 15(1) 19

Holt J, Coates C, Cotterill D, Eastburn S, Laxton J, Mistry H, Young C. Identifying common competences in health and social care: An example of multi-institutional and inter-professional working. Nurse Education Today 2010: 30(3), 264-270.

Hugger A. Hugger S. Korda B. Dental education in Germany: New concepts for the dental curriculum. Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung – Gesundheitsschutz 2011: 54 (9) 1046-1051

Institue of Medicine (IOM). Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 2001

Jones M, Hobson R, Plasschaert A. Gundersen S. Dummer P. Roger-Leroi V. Sidlauskas A. Hamlin J. Quality assurance and benchmarking: an approach for European dental schools. European Journal of Dental Education. 2007: 11 (3) 137-143

Kadagad P. Tekian A. Pinto P. Jirge V. Restructuring an undergraduate dental curriculum to global standards - a case study in an Indian dental school. European Journal of Dental Education. 2012 16(2) 97-101

Kossioni A, Vanobbergen J, Newton J, Muller F, Heath MR. European College of Gerodontology: undergraduate curriculum guidelines in Gerodontology. Gerodontology 2009: 26, 165–171.

Kragelund C. Reibel J. Hietanen J. van der Wal J. Warfinge G. Scandinavian Fellowship for Oral Pathology and Oral Medicine: Guidelines for oral pathology and oral medicine in the dental curriculum. European Journal of Dental Education 2012 16 (4) 246-253

Leach D. Changing education to improve patient care. Qual Health Care 2001: 10: ii54-ii58

León S, Araya-Bustos F, Ettinger R, Giacaman R. Geriatric dentistry content in the curriculum of the dental schools in Chile, Gerodontology, 2016, 33(3) 373

Macluskey M, Durham J, Cowan G, Cowpe J, Evans A, Freeman C, Jephcott A, Jones J, Millsopp L, Oliver R, Renton T, Ryan D, Sivarajasingham V, Still D, Taylor K, Thomson P. UK national curriculum for undergraduate oral surgery subgroup for teaching of the Association of British Academic Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. European Journal of Dental Education. 2008: 12, 48–58.

Macluskey M, Hanson C. The retention of suturing skills in dental undergraduates, European Journal of Dental Education, 2011, 15(1) 42

McPherson K, Headrick L, Moss F. Working and learning together: Good quality care depends on it, but how can we achieve it? Quality in Health Care. 2001: 10(SUPPL. 2), ii46-ii53.

Madianos P, Papaioannou W, Herrera D, Sanz M, Baeumer A, Bogren A, Bouchard P, Chomyszyn-Gajewska M, Demirel K, Gaspersic R, Giurgiu M, Graziani F, Jepsen K, Jepsen S, O'Brien T, Polyzois I, Preshaw P, Rakic M, Reners M, Rincic N, Stavropoulos A, Sütcü S, Verner C, Llodra J. EFP Delphi study on the trends in Periodontology and Periodontics in Europe for the year 2025, Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2016, 43(6) 472

Manogue M. McLoughlin J. Christersson C. Delap E. Lindh C. Schoonheim-Klein M. Plasschaesrt A. Curriculum structure, content, learning and assessment in European undergraduate dental education. European Journal of Dental Education. 2011: 15 (3) 133-141

Marks L, Duggal M, et al European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry: A guideline framework for undergraduate education in paediatric Dentistry. Eur Archs Paediatr Dent 2009: 10; 114–119.

Martignon S, Marín LM, Pitts N, Jácome-Liévano S. Consensus on domains, formation objectives and contents in cariology for undergraduate dental students in Colombia. Journal of Dental Education. 2014: 18, 222-33.

Mattheos N, Nattestad A. Teaching and assessment of implant dentistry in university education. Introduction. European Journal of Dental Education. 2009: Feb;13 Suppl 1:1-2.

Mattheos N. Teaching and learning in implant dentistry: reflecting on achievements and challenges. European Journal of Dental Education. 2014: 18 Suppl 1:1-2.

Mattheos N, Ivanovski S, Sambrook P, Klineberg I. Implant dentistry in Australian undergraduate dental curricula: knowledge and competencies for the graduating dentist, Australian Dental Journal, 2010, 55(3) 333

Mumghamba E. Integrating a primary oral health care approach in the dental curriculum: A Tanzanian experience. Medical Principles and Practice 2014 23 (supp 1) 69-77

Odell EW, Farthing PM, High A, Potts J, Soames J, Thakker N, Toner M, Williams HK.. British Society for Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, UK: minimum curriculum in oral pathology. European Journal of Dental Education. 2004: 8, 177–184.

Passi V, Doug M, Peile E, Thistlethwaite J, Johnson N. Developing medical professionalism in future doctors: a systematic review. Int J Med Educ. 2010; 1: 19–29.

Pitt Ford T, Riccucci D, et al. European Society of Endodontology: Quality guidelines for endodontic treatment: consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology. Int Endodont J 2006: 39: 921–930.

Reynolds PA, Eaton KA, Paganelli C, Shanley D. Nine years of DentEd--a global perspective on dental education. Br Dent J. 2008 Aug 23;205(4):199-204.

Saunders W, Heidemann D, et al. European Society of Endodontology: Undergraduate curriculum guidelines for endodontology. Int Endodont J 2001: 34: 574–580.

Sanz M, Meyle J. Scope, competences, learning outcomes and methods of periodontal education within the undergraduate dental curriculum: A Consensus report of the 1st European workshop on periodontal education - position paper 2 and consensus view 2, European Journal of Dental Education, 2010, 14, 25

Sanz M, Chapple I. First European Consensus Workshop in Periodontal Education--objectives and overall recommendation. Eur J Dent Educ. 2010;14 Suppl 1:1.

Schoonheim-Klein M, Ong T, Loos B. Implementation process of all periodontal competences and assessments as proposed in the 2010 European consensus meeting into the existing local undergraduate curriculum, European Journal of Dental Education, 2016, 20(4) 197

Schulte AG, Pitts NB. First Consensus Workshop on the Development of a European Core Curriculum in Cariology European Journal of Dental Education. 2011; 10.1111/j.1600-0579.2011.00707.x

Schulte A, Pitts N, Huysmans M, Splieth C, Buchalla W. European Core Curriculum in Cariology for undergraduate dental students. European Journal of Dental Education. 2011: 15 Suppl 1:9-17.

Splieth C, Innes N, Sohnel A. Evidence-based cariology in clinical and public health practice as part of the European Core Curriculum in Cariology. European Journal of Dental Education. 2011: 15 (supp 1) 45-51

Steele J, Clark H, Hong C, Jurge S, Muthukrishnan A, Kerr A, Wray D, Prescott-Clements L, Felix D, Sollecito T. World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI: an international validation study of clinical competencies for advanced training in oral medicine, Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2015, 120(2) 143

Florian Stelzle, Daniel Farhoumand, Friedrich W. Neukam, Emeka Nkenke, Implementation and Validation of an Extraction Course Using Mannequin Models for Undergraduate Dental Students, Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 2011, 69, 2, 80

Sunell S. Asadoorian J. Gadbury-Aymot C. Biggar H. Competencies for Canadian baccalaureate dental hygiene education: A Delphi study, Part I. Canadian Journal of Dental Hygiene. 2015a. 49 (2) 57-73

Suomalainen K, Karaharju-Suvanto T, Bailey S, Bullock A, Cowpe J, Barnes E, Thomas H, Thomas R, Kavadella A, Kossioni A, Kersten H, Povel E, Giles M, Walmsley D, Soboleva U, Liepa A, Akota I. Guidelines for the organisation of continuing professional development activities for the European dentist. European Journal of Dental Education. 2013: 17 Suppl 1:29-37.

Verma S, Paterson M, Medves J. Core competencies for health care professionals: What medicine, nursing, occupational therapy, and physiotherapy share. Journal of Allied Health. 2006: 35(2), 109-115.

Table I

Undergraduate Competences that were cited in 'Profile and competences for the graduating European Dentist – update 2009

Speciality	Year	Publication
Oral Surgery	2008	Macluskey M, Durham J, et al. UK national curriculum for
		undergraduate oral surgery subgroup for teaching of the
		Association of British Academic Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Fur I Dont Educ 2009: 12: 49 59
		Surgeons. Eur J Dent Educ 2000. 12. 40–30.
Endodontics	2001	Saunders W, Heidemann D, et al. European Society of
		Endodontology: Undergraduate curriculum guidelines for endodontology. Int Endodont J 2001: 34: 574–580.
Endodontics	2006	Pitt Ford T, Riccucci D, et al. European Society of
		Endodontology: Quality guidelines for endodontic treatment:
		consensus report of the European Society of Endodontology.
		int Endodont J 2000. 57. 721–750.
Oral Pathology	2004	Odell E, Farthing P, et al. British Society for Oral and
		Maxillofacial Pathology, UK: minimum curriculum in oral
		pathology. Eur J Dent Educ 2004: 8: 177–184.
Gerodontology	2009	Kossioni A. Vanobhergen I. et al European College of
Gerodontology	2007	Gerodontology: undergraduate curriculum guidelines in
		Gerodontology. Gerodontology 2009: 26: 165–171.
Paediatric	2009	Marks L, Duggal M, et al European Academy of Paediatric
Dentistry		Dentistry: A guideline framework for undergraduate education
		119
	. 6	
101		
$\mathbf{O}$		

# Table 2

Papers that have been published since the publication of the Profile and competences for the graduating European dentist update in 2009

Periodontology	2010	Sanz M, Chapple I.
		Consensus statement
	2010	Sanz M, Meyle J.
		Consensus statement
	2016	Madianos P, Papaioannou W, et al
		Delphi study
	2016	Schoonheim-Klein M, Ong T, et al
		Competency paper
Cariology	2011	Schulte A, Pitts N, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2011	Anderson P, Beeley J, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2011	Splieth C, Innes N, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2014	Martignon S, Marín L, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2016	Fontana M, Guzmán-Armstrong S, et al
		Curriculum paper
Prosthodontics	2014	Hey J, Stimmelmayr M, et al
		Curriculum paper
Dental Technology	2013	Evans J, Henderson A, et al
		Curriculum paper
Implant Dentistry	2009	Mattheos N, Nattestad A.
		Curriculum paper
	2009	De Bruyn H, Koole S, et al
	K	Curriculum paper
	2010	Mattheos N, Ivanovski S, et al
	6	Curriculum paper
	2014	Mattheos N.
		Narrative paper
Gerodontology	2009	Kossioni A, Vanobbergen J, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2016	León S, Araya-Bustos F, et al
		Curriculum paper
Oral Surgery	2011	Stelzle F, Farhoumand D, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2011	Macluskey M, Hanson C,
		Curriculum paper
	2012	Christensen J, Matzen L, et al
		Curriculum paper
	2012	Carbone M, Manno E.
		Curriculum paper
Oral Medicine	2010	Kragelund C, Reibel J, et al
		Consensus statement
	2015	Steele J, Hadleigh J, et al

		Competency paper			
Dental Public Health	2011	Holmes R, Waterhouse P, et al			
		Curriculum paper			
Special Care	2014	Dougall A, Thompson S, et al			
Dentistry		Curriculum paper			
Continuing	2011	Heiderman D, Harzer W.			
Professional		Curriculum paper			
Development (CPD)	2013	Cowpe J.			
		Reference manual			
	2013	Suomalainen K, Karaharju-Suvanto T, et al			
		Curriculum document			
Pre-clinical skills	2017	Field J, Stone S, et al			
		Curriculum paper			
Dental Hygiene	2015	Sunell S, Asadoorian J, et al			
		Delphi study			
General Dental	2011	Hugger A, Hugger S, et al			
Education		Curriculum paper			
	2012	Kadagad P, Tekian A, et al			
		Curriculum paper			
	2017	Harzer W, Tausche E, et al			
		Narrative review			
Curriculum design	2014	Mumghamba E.			
		Curriculum paper			
	2016	Bridges S, Yiu C, et al			
		Curriculum paper			
		.O'			
		G			
•	0				

Table 3

# Revised structure of the educational Domains and their Major Competences: A Framework for Dental Undergraduate Education & Training

# **Domain I: Professionalism**

- I.I Ethics
- 1.2 Regulation
- 1.3 Professionalism

# Domain II: Safe and effective clinical practice

- 2.1 Evidence-based Practice
- 2.2 Management and Leadership
- 2.3 Team-working and Communication
- 2.4 Audit and Risk Management
- 2.5 Professional Education and Training

#### **Domain III: Patient-centered Care**

- 3.1 Applying the Scientific Basis of Oral Healthcare
- 3.2 Clinical Information Gathering and Diagnosis
- 3.3 Treatment Planning
- 3.4 Establishing and Maintaining Oral Health

# Domain IV: Dentistry in Society

- 4.1 Dental Public Health
- 4.2 Prevention and Health Promotion
- 4.3 Inter-Professional Collaboration
- 4.4 Health Advocacy

# For each 'Major Competence' there will a series of:

• Defined 'Learning Outcomes'

# In addition, there will be guidance provided in support of the Domains for the following:

- 'Methods of Teaching'
- 'Methods of Assessment'